

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/733,641	Applicant(s) NAGURNY ET AL.	
	Examiner Boris L. Chervinsky	Art Unit 2835	

All Participants:

(1) Boris L. Chervinsky.

(2) Mark A. Wurm, Reg. No. 31,682.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7,16,19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

claims 7,16 and 19 to be canceled by examiner's amendment to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**BORIS CHERVINSKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)